Approved For Release 2001/08/07: CIA-RDP78-04718A001800050055-9

## TYO OWY

1 April 1955

25X1A9a

ACAD SAME THE FURE

SUBJECT:

Agency eassignment Soard

25X1A

25X1A9a

Le Reference is made to our conversation today concerning the intent 5 February 195h, which established the Agency Reassignment Beard. In particular you inquired whether in the case of the Office of Personnel had formarded the record to the NDCI. You stated that in reading paragraph 6 of this Notice it appeared to you that the Agency had decided to refer any case involving reduction in force to the BOCI for decision to terminate.

2. From the outset it was the intent of the DAGE to exercise the Director's discretionary authority contained in section 102(a) of the Matienal Geourity hot of 1967 as the vehicle by which involuntary separations would be accomplished as a result of Agency Reassignment Board action. In exploratory discussions between the DOI and the Personnel Director on 5 October 1953 the several aspects of the problems involved in disposing of excess personnel were considered, and the Personnel Director reviewed for the DDCI the several methods by which involuntary separations from the Federal service are usually ecomplished. The IDII had become consermed about this problem due to the them sizeable number of individuals without permanent assignments, which situation had been saused principally by the

25X1A6a

large reduction in the large reduction in the that time that the Agency would have need for some sort of procedures by which limited reductions in force would be accomplished. At the same time the DECL decided that we would not resort to formal reductions in force procedures but that we would establish some alternative procedure which would be consistent with current Agency requirements. The DECI at that point resolved that all other efforts failing to effect a reassignment, he would recommend to the Director that the lowest reaking individual in the occupation involved be separated "in the interests of the United States", citing section 102(e) of the National Security Act of 1947 as authority. For these reasons, and after full Agency coordination, the last sentence of paragraph 6 of reads "The record of the individual thus selected will be for-

25X1A

3. Because of our knowledge of the intent of the procedure, as outlined above, we did not refer to the Office of Personnel to proceed the Agency Measelgment Board maked the Office of Personnel to proceed case to the SECI. since with a normal reduction-in-force action.

warded to the Deputy Director for Central Intelligence for decision to

25X1A9a

:nl (1 Apr 55) 25X1A9a Distribution:

terminate."

0 & 2 - Addressee

Harrison G. Seynolds

12 - DD/S 1 - D/Pers Approved For Release 2001/08/07 CAPP P78-04718A001800050055-9

JL ... C